

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

JOSEPH SHOEMAKER,)	
RICHARD GINDIN, DEMYA)	
JOHNSON, KIMBERLY)	
STARLING, And MATTHEW)	
MCCORMICK, individually and)	
on behalf of all others similarly)	
situated,)	
)	
Plaintiffs,)	
)	
v.)	Civil No. 1:21-cv-01668-CCC
)	
RICHARD ZEITLIN,)	
AMERICAN TECHNOLOGY)	Judge Conner
SERVICES, LLC, UNIFIED)	
DATA SERVICES, LLC,)	
COMPLIANCE)	ELECTRONICALLY FILED
CONSULTANTS, LLC,)	
and JOHN DOES)	
1-10, corporate entities and)	
individuals presently unknown,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
)	

Defendants' Index of Exhibits

Richard Zeitlin; American Technology Services, LLC; Unified Data Services, LLC; Compliance Consultants, LLC; and Wired 4 Data, LLC, (“Defendants”), by and through counsel, the Bernhoft Law Firm, S.C. hereby files this Index of Exhibits to its Consolidated Memorandum in

Support of Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' First Amended
Complaint and Motion to Strike Class Allegations.

Exhibit	Description
A	American Technology Services, LLC's report of status and history from Delaware Secretary of State
B	Unified Data Services, LLC's report of status and history from Delaware Secretary of State
C	Compliance Consultants, LLC's report of status and history from Delaware Secretary of State
D	Complaint filed in <i>Richard Zeitlin, et al. v. Federal Trade Commission</i> , No. 2:19-cv-00698 (D. Nev.)
E	Motion to Dismiss filed in <i>Richard Zeitlin, et al. v. Federal Trade Commission</i> , No. 2:19-cv-00698 (D. Nev.)
F	Response to Motion to Dismiss filed in <i>Richard Zeitlin, et al. v. Federal Trade Commission</i> , No. 2:19-cv-00698 (D. Nev.)
G	Opening Brief filed in <i>Unified Data Services, LLC, et al. v. Federal Trade Commission</i> , No. 20-16128 (9th Cir.)
H	Reply Brief filed in <i>Unified Data Services, LLC, et al. v. Federal Trade Commission</i> , No. 20-16128 (9th Cir.)
I	<i>Richard Steinberg & Deborah Morris, Ratio Discrimination in Charity Fundraising: The Inappropriate Use of Cost Ratios Has Harmful Side-Effects</i> , 1 VOLUNTARY SECTOR REV. 77, 89 (2010)
J	<i>Aaronson v. CHW Grp., Inc.</i> , No. 1:18-cv-1533, 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 231433 (E.D. Va. Apr. 15, 2019)
K	<i>Chernus v. Logitech, Inc.</i> , Civil Action No. 17-673(FLW), 2018 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 70784 (D.N.J. Apr. 27, 2018)
L	<i>Cunningham v. Kondaur Capital</i> , No. 3:14-1574, 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 183095 (M.D. Tenn. Nov. 19, 2014)

M	<i>Huber v. Pro Custom Solar, LLC</i> , No. 3:19-CV-01090, 2020 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 87025 (M.D. Pa. May 18, 2020)
N	<i>Hurley v. Messer</i> , No. 16-cv 9949, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 56951 (S.D. W. Va., Apr. 13, 2017)
O	<i>Inzillo v. Cont'l Plaza</i> , NO. 3:99-CV-0100, 2000 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 20103 (M.D. Pa. Nov. 27, 2000)
P	<i>Scruggs v. CHW Grp., Inc.</i> , Civ. A. No. 20-48, 2020 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 253431 (E.D. Va. Nov. 12, 2020)
Q	<i>Smith v. Direct Bldg. Supplies, LLC</i> , No. 20-3583, 2021 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 193657 (E.D. Pa. Oct. 7, 2021)
R	<i>Spiegel v. Reynolds</i> , No. 15 C 8504, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 161642 (N.D. Ill. Nov. 22, 2016)